Introduction

Analysis of reaction network: 000000 In-vivo control

Conclusion

Analysis and control of stochastic reaction networks – Applications to biology

Corentin Briat joint work with A. Gupta and M. Khammash

Séminaire d'Automatique du Plateau de Saclay - 13/11/15



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich





Introduction	Analysis of reaction networks	In-vivo control	Conclusion
00000	000000	000000000	00000
D-BSSE Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering			

Introduction



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Reaction networks

A reaction network is...

- A set of d distinct species X₁,..., X_d
- A set of K reactions R_1,\ldots,R_K specifying how species interact with each other and for each reaction we have
 - A stoichiometric vector $\zeta_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ describing how reactions change the state value
 - A propensity function $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ describing the "strength" of the reaction



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Reaction networks

A reaction network is...

- A set of d distinct species X₁,..., X_d
- A set of K reactions R_1,\ldots,R_K specifying how species interact with each other and for each reaction we have
 - A stoichiometric vector $\zeta_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ describing how reactions change the state value
 - A propensity function $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ describing the "strength" of the reaction

Example - SIR model

R_1	:	S + I	$\xrightarrow{\beta}$	2I	X_1	\equiv	$oldsymbol{s}$
R_2	:	I	$\overset{\gamma}{-\!\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!$	\boldsymbol{R}	X_2	\equiv	I
R_3	:	R	$\xrightarrow{\alpha}$	$oldsymbol{s}$	X_3	≡	R

Stoichiometries and propensities

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \zeta_1 &=& (-1,1,0), & \lambda_1(x) &=& \beta x_1 x_2 \\ \zeta_2 &=& (0,-1,1), & \lambda_2(x) &=& \gamma x_2 \\ \zeta_3 &=& (1,0,-1), & \lambda_3(x) &=& \alpha x_3 \end{array}$$



In-vivo control

Conclusion 00000

Reaction networks

A reaction network is...

- A set of d distinct species X_1, \ldots, X_d
- A set of K reactions R_1,\ldots,R_K specifying how species interact with each other and for each reaction we have
 - A stoichiometric vector $\zeta_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ describing how reactions change the state value
 - A propensity function $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ describing the "strength" of the reaction

Deterministic networks

- Large populations (concentrations are well-defined), e.g. as in chemistry
- Lots of analytical tools, e.g. reaction network theory, dynamical systems theory, Lyapunov theory of stability, nonlinear control theory, etc.

Stochastic networks

- Low populations (concentrations are NOT well defined)
- Biological processes where key molecules are in low copy number (mRNA ${\simeq}10$ copies per cell)
- No well-established theory for biology, "analysis" often based on simulations...
- No well-established control theory



In-vivo control

Conclusion 00000

Chemical master equation

State and dynamics

- The state $X \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ is vector of random variables representing molecules count
- The dynamics of the process is described by a jump Markov process $(X(t))_{t\geq 0}$

Chemical Master Equation (Forward Kolmogorov equation)

$$\dot{p}_{x_0}(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k (x - \zeta_k) p_{x_0}(x - \zeta_k, t) - \lambda_k(x) p_{x_0}(x, t), \ x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$$

where $p_{x_0}(x,t) = \mathbb{P}[X(t) = x | X(0) = x_0]$, i.e. $p_{x_0}(x,0) = \delta_{x_0}(x)$.

Solving the CME

- Infinite countable number of linear time-invariant ODEs
- · Exactly solvable only in very simple cases
- Some numerical schemes are available (FSP, QTT, etc) but limited by the curse of dimensionality; if $X \in \{0, \ldots, \bar{x} 1\}^d$, then we have \bar{x}^d states



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Birth-death process

Process ($X(t) \in \mathbb{N}_0, d = 1, K = 2$)



- Birth reaction: $\zeta_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_1(x) = k$
- Death reaction: $\zeta_2 = -1$ and $\lambda_2(x) = \gamma x$



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Birth-death process

Process ($X(t) \in \mathbb{N}_0, d = 1, K = 2$)



- Birth reaction: $\zeta_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_1(x) = k$
- Death reaction: $\zeta_2 = -1$ and $\lambda_2(x) = \gamma x$

Two sample-paths with X(0) = 0, k = 3 and $\gamma = 1$





In-vivo control

Conclusion

Birth-death process

Process ($X(t) \in \mathbb{N}_0, d = 1, K = 2$)



- Birth reaction: $\zeta_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_1(x) = k$
- Death reaction: $\zeta_2 = -1$ and $\lambda_2(x) = \gamma x$

Solution of the CME for $p(x,0) = \delta_0(x)$

•
$$p(x,t) = \frac{\sigma(t)^x}{x!} e^{-\sigma(t)}$$
 where $\sigma(t) := \frac{k}{\gamma} \left(1 - e^{-\gamma t}\right), \ x \in \mathbb{N}_0$

•
$$p(x,t) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \frac{k^x}{\gamma^x x!} e^{-\frac{k}{\gamma}}$$

Exponentially converges to a unique stationary Poisson distribution with parameter $\bar{\sigma}$ (true for any initial condition p(x, 0))



In-vivo control

Conclusion



Stability of stochastic reaction networks

- How to define stability?
- How to characterize global stability?

Control of stochastic reaction networks

- What control problems can we actually define?
- What controllers can we use?
- How to implement them?

Introduction

Analysis of reaction networks

In-vivo control

Conclusion

Analysis of stochastic reaction networks



Ergodicity

A given stochastic reaction network is ergodic if there is a probability distribution π such that for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we have that $p_{x_0}(x, t) \to \pi$ as $t \to \infty$.





Ergodicity

A given stochastic reaction network is ergodic if there is a probability distribution π such that for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we have that $p_{x_0}(x,t) \to \pi$ as $t \to \infty$.

Theorem (Condition for ergodicity¹)

Assume that

- (a) the state-space of the network is irreducible; and
- (b) there exists a norm-like function V(x) such that the **drift condition**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i(x) [V(x+\zeta_i) - V(x)] \le c_1 - c_2 V(x)$$

holds for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

E I H Zürich

^{1 🙈} S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. Stability of Markovian processes III: Foster-Lyapunov criteria for continuous-time processes, Adv. Appl. Prob., 1993



Ergodicity

A given stochastic reaction network is ergodic if there is a probability distribution π such that for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we have that $p_{x_0}(x,t) \to \pi$ as $t \to \infty$.

Theorem (Condition for ergodicity¹)

Assume that

- (a) the state-space of the network is irreducible; and
- (b) there exists a norm-like function V(x) such that the **drift condition**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i(x) [V(x+\zeta_i) - V(x)] \le c_1 - c_2 V(x)$$

holds for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

Then, the stochastic reaction network is (exponentially) ergodic.

E I H Zürich

^{1 🙈} S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. Stability of Markovian processes III: Foster-Lyapunov criteria for continuous-time processes, Adv. Appl. Prob., 1993



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Ergodicity of unimolecular networks

Unimolecular network ($\lambda(x)$ affine)

 $\emptyset \longrightarrow X_1, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow \emptyset, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow X_2, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow X_1 + X_2$





In-vivo control

Conclusion

Ergodicity of unimolecular networks

Unimolecular network ($\lambda(x)$ affine)

 $\emptyset \longrightarrow X_1, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow \emptyset, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow X_2, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow X_1 + X_2$

Theorem (1)

Let us consider $V(x) = \langle v, x \rangle$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ and a given irreducible reaction network. The drift condition is given by

 $\langle v, Ax + b \rangle \leq c_1 - c_2 \langle v, x \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$

where A is a Metzler matrix and b is a nonnegative vector obtained from the reactions.



¹ SA. Gupta, C. Briat, and M. Khammash. A scalable computational framework for establishing long-term behavior of stochastic reaction networks, PLOS Computational Biology, 2014



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Ergodicity of unimolecular networks

Unimolecular network ($\lambda(x)$ affine)

 $\emptyset \longrightarrow X_1, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow \emptyset, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow X_2, \quad X_1 \longrightarrow X_1 + X_2$

Theorem (1)

Let us consider $V(x) = \langle v, x \rangle$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ and a given irreducible reaction network. The drift condition is given by

 $\langle v, Ax + b \rangle \leq c_1 - c_2 \langle v, x \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$

where A is a Metzler matrix and b is a nonnegative vector obtained from the reactions.

Assume that A is nonsingular, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) There exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ such that $v^T A < 0$ (LP problem); i.e. A is Hurwitz stable.
- (b) The Markov process is ergodic and all the moments are bounded and globally converging

¹ SA. Gupta, C. Briat, and M. Khammash. A scalable computational framework for establishing long-term behavior of stochastic reaction networks, PLOS Computational Biology, 2014



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Ergodicity of bimolecular networks

Bimolecular network ($\lambda(x)$ quadratic)

unimolecular reactions and $X_1 + X_1 \longrightarrow \times$, $X_1 + X_2 \longrightarrow \times$





In-vivo control

Conclusion

Ergodicity of bimolecular networks

Bimolecular network ($\lambda(x)$ quadratic)

unimolecular reactions and $X_1 + X_1 \longrightarrow \times$, $X_1 + X_2 \longrightarrow \times$

Theorem (1)

Let us consider $V(x) = \langle v, x \rangle$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ and a given irreducible reaction network. The drift condition is given by

$$\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\x\end{array}\right]^TM(v)\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\x\end{array}\right]+\langle v,Ax+b\rangle\leq c_1-c_2\langle v,x\rangle \text{ for all }x\in\mathbb{N}_0^d$$

where A and b are related to unimolecular reactions and ${\cal M}(v)$ to bimolecular reactions.

¹ SA. Gupta, C. Briat, and M. Khammash. A scalable computational framework for establishing long-term behavior of stochastic reaction networks, PLOS Computational Biology, 2014



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Ergodicity of bimolecular networks

Bimolecular network ($\lambda(x)$ quadratic)

unimolecular reactions and $X_1 + X_1 \longrightarrow \times$, $X_1 + X_2 \longrightarrow \times$

Theorem (1)

Let us consider $V(x) = \langle v, x \rangle$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ and a given irreducible reaction network. The drift condition is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\x \end{bmatrix}^T M(v) \begin{bmatrix} 1\\x \end{bmatrix} + \langle v, Ax + b \rangle \le c_1 - c_2 \langle v, x \rangle \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$$

where A and b are related to unimolecular reactions and M(v) to bimolecular reactions. Assume further that

- A is nonsingular
- there exists a $v \in \mathcal{N}_q := \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0} : M(\theta) = 0 \right\}$ such that $v^T A < 0$.

¹ SA. Gupta, C. Briat, and M. Khammash. A scalable computational framework for establishing long-term behavior of stochastic reaction networks, PLOS Computational Biology, 2014



In-vivo control

Conclusion 00000

Ergodicity of bimolecular networks

Bimolecular network ($\lambda(x)$ quadratic)

unimolecular reactions and $X_1 + X_1 \longrightarrow imes$, $X_1 + X_2 \longrightarrow imes$

Theorem (1)

Let us consider $V(x) = \langle v, x \rangle$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ and a given irreducible reaction network. The drift condition is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\x \end{bmatrix}^T M(v) \begin{bmatrix} 1\\x \end{bmatrix} + \langle v, Ax + b \rangle \le c_1 - c_2 \langle v, x \rangle \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$$

where A and b are related to unimolecular reactions and M(v) to bimolecular reactions. Assume further that

- A is nonsingular
- there exists a $v \in \mathcal{N}_q := \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0} : M(\theta) = 0 \right\}$ such that $v^T A < 0$.

Then, the Markov process is ergodic, and all the moments are bounded and converging.

¹ SA. Gupta, C. Briat, and M. Khammash. A scalable computational framework for establishing long-term behavior of stochastic reaction networks, PLOS Computational Biology, 2014



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Circadian clock^{1,2} d = 9, K = 16





ETH zürich

¹ Solution J. M. G. Vilar, et al. Mechanisms of noise-resistance in genetic oscillator, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2002

² A Gupta, C. Briat, and M. Khammash. A scalable computational framework for establishing long-term behavior of stochastic reaction networks, PLOS Computational Biology, 2014



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Circadian clock^{1,2} d = 9, K = 16





Theorem

For any values of the rate parameters, the circadian clock model is ergodic and has all its moments bounded and converging.

ETH Zürich

¹ SJ. M. G. Vilar, et al. Mechanisms of noise-resistance in genetic oscillator, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2002

² Sh. Gupta, C. Briat, and M. Khammash. A scalable computational framework for establishing long-term behavior of stochastic reaction networks, PLOS Computational Biology, 2014





• The ensemble averages (plain) converge to the their stationary values, which coincide with the asymptotic time-averages (black dotted), i.e.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} x \pi(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t X(s) ds \text{ a.s.}$$
(1)

Analysis and control of stochastic reaction networks

Introduction

Analysis of reaction networks

In-vivo control

Conclusion 00000

In-vivo population control



In-vivo control

Conclusion



Open-loop reaction network

- d molecular species: X_1, \ldots, X_d
- X_1 is the actuated species: $\emptyset \longrightarrow X_1$
- Measured/controlled species: $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$





¹ Sec. Briat, A. Gupta, and M. Khammash. A new motif for robust perfect adaptation in noisy biomolecular networks, accepted in Cell Systems, 2015



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Setup¹

Open-loop reaction network

- d molecular species: X_1, \ldots, X_d
- X_1 is the actuated species: $\emptyset \longrightarrow X_1$
- Measured/controlled species: $Y = X_{\ell}$



Problem

Find a controller such that the closed-loop network is ergodic and such that we have $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] \to \mu^*$ as $t \to \infty$ for some reference value μ^* as $t \to \infty$

ETH Zürich

¹ Sec. Briat, A. Gupta, and M. Khammash. A new motif for robust perfect adaptation in noisy biomolecular networks, accepted in Cell Systems, 2015



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Setup¹

Open-loop reaction network

- d molecular species: X_1, \ldots, X_d
- X_1 is the actuated species: $\emptyset \longrightarrow X_1$
- Measured/controlled species: $Y = X_{\ell}$



Problem

Find a controller such that the closed-loop network is ergodic and such that we have $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] \to \mu^*$ as $t \to \infty$ for some reference value μ^* as $t \to \infty$

Antithetic integral controller

• Two species Z_1 and Z_2 .



where $k, \eta, \theta, \mu > 0$ are control parameters.

ETH zürich

Analysis and control of stochastic reaction networks

¹ Sec. Briat, A. Gupta, and M. Khammash. A new motif for robust perfect adaptation in noisy biomolecular networks, accepted in Cell Systems, 2015



In-vivo control

Conclusion

The hidden integral action¹

Moments equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)] = \mu - \eta \mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)Z_2(t)]$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[Z_2(t)] = \theta \mathbb{E}[Y(t)] - \eta \mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)Z_2(t)]$$

ETH zürich

¹ SK. Oishi and E. Klavins. Biomolecular implementation of linear I/O systems, IET Systems Biology, 2010



In-vivo control

Conclusion

The hidden integral action¹

Moments equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)] = \mu - \eta\mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)Z_2(t)]$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[Z_2(t)] = \theta\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] - \eta\mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)Z_2(t)].$$

ETH zürich

Integral action

We have that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[Z_1(t) - Z_2(t)] = \mu - \theta \mathbb{E}[Y(t)],$$

so we have an integral action on the mean and we have that $\mu^* = \mu/\theta$

- No need for solving moments equations \rightarrow no moment closure :)

¹ SK. Oishi and E. Klavins. Biomolecular implementation of linear I/O systems, IET Systems Biology, 2010



In-vivo control

Conclusion

General stabilization result

Theorem

Let $V(x) = \langle v, x \rangle$ with $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ and $W(x) = \langle w, x \rangle$ with $w \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}$, $w_1, w_\ell > 0$. Assume that

- (a) the state-space of the open-loop reaction network is irreducible; and
- (b) there exist $c_2 > 0$ and $c_3, c_4 \ge 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1\\K}}^{K} \lambda_k(x) [V(x+\zeta_k) - V(x)] \leq -c_2 V(x),$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k(x) [W(x+\zeta_k) - W(x)] \geq -c_3 - c_4 x_\ell,$$
(2)

hold for all $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ (together with some other dreadful conditions).

Then, the closed-loop network is ergodic and we have that $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] \to \mu/\theta$ as $t \to \infty$.



In-vivo control

Conclusion 00000

Unimolecular networks

Theorem

Let us consider a unimolecular reaction network with irreducible state-space. Assume that its first-order moment system

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = A\mathbb{E}[X(t)] + e_1 u(t)$$

$$y(t) = e_{\ell}^T \mathbb{E}[X(t)]$$
(3)



is



(a) asymptotically stable, i.e A Hurwitz stable (LP)

In-vivo control

Conclusion

Unimolecular networks

Theorem

Let us consider a unimolecular reaction network with irreducible state-space. Assume that its first-order moment system

(b) output controllable, i.e. rank $\begin{bmatrix} e_{\ell}^T e_1 & e_{\ell}^T A e_1 & \dots & e_{\ell}^T A^{d-1} e_1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$ (LP)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = A\mathbb{E}[X(t)] + e_1 u(t)$$

$$y(t) = e_{\ell}^T \mathbb{E}[X(t)]$$
(3)

ETH Zürich

is



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Unimolecular networks

Theorem

Let us consider a unimolecular reaction network with irreducible state-space. Assume that its first-order moment system

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = A\mathbb{E}[X(t)] + e_1 u(t)$$

$$y(t) = e_{\ell}^T \mathbb{E}[X(t)]$$
(3)

i) Zürich

- (a) asymptotically stable, i.e A Hurwitz stable (LP)
- (b) output controllable, i.e. rank $\begin{bmatrix} e_{\ell}^T e_1 & e_{\ell}^T A e_1 & \dots & e_{\ell}^T A^{d-1} e_1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$ (LP)

Then, for all control parameters $k, \eta > 0$,

- (a) the closed-loop reaction network (system + controller) is ergodic
- (b) all the first and second order moments of the random variables X_1, \ldots, X_d are uniformly bounded and globally converging
- (c) $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] \to \mu/\theta$ as $t \to \infty$.



In-vivo control

Conclusion



Closed-loop system

- · Robust ergodicity, tracking and disturbance rejection
- · Population control is achieved

Controller

- Innocuous: open-loop ergodic & output controllable \Rightarrow closed-loop ergodic
- Decentralized: use only local information (single-cell control)
- Implementable: small number of (elementary) reactions
- Low metabolic cost: the energy consumption is proportional to $\mu,$ not μ/θ

Additional remarks

- No moment closure problem
- Expected to work on a wide class of networks (even though the theory is not there yet)



 $= \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_1 & \zeta_2 & \zeta_3 & \zeta_4 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\lambda(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(x) & \lambda_2(x) & \lambda_3(x) & \lambda_4(x) \end{bmatrix}^T$ $= \begin{bmatrix} k_r & \gamma_r x_1 & k_p x_1 & \gamma_p x_2 \end{bmatrix}^T$



We want to control the average number of proteins by suitably acting on the transcription rate k_{r}



Theorem

For any values of the system parameters $k_p, \gamma_r, \gamma_p > 0$ and the control parameters $\mu, \theta, k, \eta > 0$, the closed-loop network is ergodic and we have that $\mathbb{E}[X_2(t)] \rightarrow \mu/\theta$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ globally.





Deterministic vs. stochastic populations

In-vivo control

Deterministic cell population

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x}_1 &=& k z_1 - \gamma_r x_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 &=& k_p x_1 - \gamma_p x_2 \\ \dot{z}_1 &=& \mu - \eta z_1 z_2 \\ \dot{z}_2 &=& \theta x_2 - \eta z_1 z_2 \end{array}$$



ETH Zürich



Deterministic vs. stochastic populations

In-vivo control

Deterministic cell population

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x}_1 &=& k z_1 - \gamma_r x_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 &=& k_p x_1 - \gamma_p x_2 \\ \dot{z}_1 &=& \mu - \eta z_1 z_2 \\ \dot{z}_2 &=& \theta x_2 - \eta z_1 z_2 \end{array}$$

Stochastic cell population

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathbb{E}}[X_1] &= k\mathbb{E}[Z_1] - \gamma_r \mathbb{E}[X_1] \\ \dot{\mathbb{E}}[X_2] &= k_p \mathbb{E}[X_1] - \gamma_p \mathbb{E}[X_2] \\ \dot{\mathbb{E}}[Z_1] &= \mu - \eta \mathbb{E}[Z_1] \mathbb{E}[Z_2] \\ -\eta V(Z_1, Z_2) \\ \dot{\mathbb{E}}[Z_2] &= \theta \mathbb{E}[X_2] - \eta \mathbb{E}[Z_1] \mathbb{E}[Z_2] \\ -\eta V(Z_1, Z_2) \end{split}$$



Corentin Briat

ETH zürich

Analysis and control of stochastic reaction networks



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Robustness - Perfect adaptation



Introduction

Analysis of reaction networks

In-vivo control

Conclusion •0000

Concluding statements



In-vivo control

Conclusion 00000

Concluding statements

Analysis - Still a lot of work

- Other types of Lyapunov functions
- · Optimization methods have to be developed routines
- Some other stuffs can be done for ergodicity analysis; i.e. non-Lyapunov methods



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Concluding statements

Analysis - Still a lot of work

- Other types of Lyapunov functions
- · Optimization methods have to be developed routines
- Some other stuffs can be done for ergodicity analysis; i.e. non-Lyapunov methods

Control - Even more work ...

- · In-vivo (integral) control seems promising (closure problem does not exist)
- Extension to bimolecular networks, multiple inputs/outputs, different controllers \rightarrow biomolecular control theory Cybergenetics
- Implementation?



In-vivo control

Conclusion



Thank you for your attention



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Computational results

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) The matrix A is Hurwitz and the triplet (A, e_1, e_ℓ^T) is output-controllable.
- (b) There exist $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}$ with $w^T e_1 > 0$, $w^T e_\ell > 0$, such that

 $v^TA < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w^TA + e_\ell^T = 0.$



In-vivo control

Conclusion

Computational results

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) The matrix A is Hurwitz and the triplet (A, e_1, e_ℓ^T) is output-controllable.
- (b) There exist $v \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}$ with $w^T e_1 > 0$, $w^T e_\ell > 0$, such that

$$v^TA < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w^TA + e_\ell^T = 0.$$

Comments

- Linear program
- Can be robustified \rightarrow if $A \in [A^-, A^+]$, then $v_+^T A^+ < 0$ and $w_-^T A^- + e_\ell^T = 0$.
- Can be made structural $\rightarrow A \in \{\ominus, 0, \oplus\}^{d \times d}$



Implementation

