Quantum mean-field filtering and control

Sofiane CHALAL, Nina H. AMINI, Gaoyue GUO

CentraleSupélec, Université Paris-Saclay

February 15, 2024

Image: Image:

Contents



- Classical MFG and MFC
- Quantum Feedback Control
- Mean-Field Belavkin equation
- Application to quantum state preparation

Further research

∃ ▶ ∢

Image: A matrix of the second seco

Ξ.

Main Motivation

- Physical motivation :
 - · Mean-field approximation to reduce the complexity.
- Mathematical motivation :
 - Mean-field Games (MFG) and Mean-field Control (MFC) for quantum particles ?

(日)

MFG and MFC

- Initiated by Lasry-Lions and independently by Huang-Caines-Malhamé in 2006.
- Theory to analysis differential games with very large number of agents.

Remark : In this talk agent = player = particle.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

N-particles systems

- *N*-controlled particles each one taken value in space state $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}, [0, 1], \mathcal{M}, ...$
- The space state of the system is the Cartesian product $\mathfrak{X}^N = \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{X}$
- A system of SDE gives the dynamics of each particles.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}X_t^{u,j} &= b(X_t^{u,j}, u_t^j, \hat{\nu}_t^{u,N}) \mathbf{d}t + \sigma(X_t^{u,j}) \mathbf{d}W_t^j, \ 1 \le j \le N \\ \hat{\nu}_t^{u,N} &:= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{u,k}}, \ \hat{\nu}^{u,N} = (\hat{\nu}_t^{u,N})_{0 \le t \le T}, \ u_t^j := u_t(X_t^{u_j,j}) \end{aligned}$$

• Provided some set \mathcal{U} of admissible strategies and a time horizon T, agent j aims to minimize its cost $\mathcal{U} \ni u \mapsto \mathcal{J}_j(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathcal{J}_j(u) \equiv \mathcal{J}(u, \hat{\nu}_t^{u,N})$:

$$\mathcal{J}_j(u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(X_t^{u_j,j}, u_t^j, \hat{\nu}_t^{u,N}) \mathrm{d}t + g(X_T^{u_j,j}, \hat{\nu}_T^{u,N})\right],$$

• $u_j := (u^1, \dots, u^{j-1}, u, u^{j+1}, \dots, u^N)$ and f, g are some cost functions.

(日)

э.

N-Particles Problem

• Namely, $u^*:=(u^{*,1},\ldots,u^{*,N})\in\mathcal{U}^N$ is said to achieve a Nash equilibrium if

$$\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{u}^{*,j}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\boldsymbol{u}^*,N}) = \inf_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{u}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^{\boldsymbol{u}_j^*,N}),$$

where
$$u_j^* := (u^{*,1}, \dots, u^{*,j-1}, u, u^{*,j+1}, \dots, u^{*,N}).$$

• Problem : Very difficult to solve !

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨトー

Propagation of chaos

• Ansatz :

• When $N \to \infty$ the particles becomes independent.

$$\mathsf{Law}(X_t^1,\ldots,X_t^N)\approx\nu_t\otimes\cdots\otimes\nu_t.$$

• We can focus on the typical particle :

$$dX_t = b(X_t, u_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t))dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t.$$

◆ロト ◆聞 と ◆ 臣 と ◆ 臣 と

Ξ.

MFG Problem

• The MFG problem is defined as follows : find a pair (\hat{u}, ν) such that denoting by \hat{X} the solution of :

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\hat{X}_t &= b(\hat{X}_t, \hat{u}(\hat{X}_t), \nu_t) \mathrm{d}t + \sigma(\hat{X}_t) \mathrm{d}W_t \\ \hat{X}_0 &= x_0. \end{split}$$

• then
$$\nu_t = \mathcal{L}(\hat{X}_t)$$
, and $\forall t \in [0, T]$

$$\mathcal{J}(\hat{u}(\bullet),\nu(\bullet)) \leq \mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{u}(\bullet),\nu(\bullet)), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

• From the MFG problem solution, get an approximation for *N*-particles problems.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・

Main ingredients

- $\textbf{O} \text{ Differential games} \rightarrow \text{Continuous time + Control by Closed-Loop Feedback}$
- **2** Weak interaction \rightarrow Mean Field Approximation \rightarrow Typical particle.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・

3 N 3

Quantum Mechanics in 1 Slide

• Two concepts in quantum mechanics :

- Superposition
- Entanglement
- Evolution and measurement
 - Evolution of state : Schrödinger equation
 - Measurement : Born Rule

• Main difficulties :

- We can't separate dynamics of each particle.
- It's not clear what can be the empirical measure in quantum setting.
- We can't measure without distrub the system and continuously observing freeze the dynamics.

• • • • • • • •

- Should consider open quantum systems subject to indirect measurements.
- The formalism was invented through the pioneering work of Belavkin in the '80s.
- The mean-field extension as well as Quantum MFG was done in 2020 by Kolokoltsov.



Figure: Synoptic of Quantum Feedback Control

Continuous monitored N-Quantum particle systems

- $\bullet\,$ Focus on finite space state i.e $\mathfrak{X}=\{1,\ldots,d\}$ endowed with Borel measure μ
 - In this case $\mathbb{H} := L^2((\mathfrak{X}, \mu); \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^d$
 - The state of the system is the Tensor product $\mathbb{H}^N=\mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{H}\dots\otimes\mathbb{H}$
- The state is given by density matrix

•
$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^{N} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^{N}) := \left\{ \rho \in \mathcal{M}_{d^{N}}(\mathbb{C}); \ \rho \geq 0, tr(\rho) = 1, \rho = \rho^{\dagger} \right\}$$

• Evolution of Schrödinger-Belavkin equation :

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N} &= -\mathbf{i}[\mathbf{H},\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}]\mathbf{d}t + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{L}_{j}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{L}_{j}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathbf{L}_{j}^{\dagger}\mathbf{L}_{j},\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\right\}\right) \mathbf{d}t \\ &+ \sqrt{\eta}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{L}_{j}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{L}_{j}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N} - tr\left(\left(\mathbf{L}_{j} + \mathbf{L}_{j}^{\dagger}\right)\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\right)\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\right) \mathbf{d}W_{t}^{j} \end{split}$$

• Signal process :

$$\mathrm{d} Y^j_t = \mathrm{d} W^j_t + \sqrt{\eta} tr \big((\mathbf{L}_j + \mathbf{L}^\dagger_j) \boldsymbol{\rho}^N_t \big) \mathrm{d} t, \ 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Instruments and Operators

- $\mathbf{H} = \sum_{j} (\mathbf{H}_{j} + u(Y_{t}^{j})\mathbf{\hat{H}}_{j}) + \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{A}_{ij}/N.$
- [C,D] = CD DC and $\{C,D\} = CD + DC$
- $C_j = I \otimes \cdots \otimes C \otimes \cdots \otimes I$
- $\eta \in [0,1]$ efficiency measurement.
- $H_j = H_j^{\dagger}$: Free hamiltonien, $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{\dagger}$: Controlled Hamiltonian
- *u* scalar control function.
- Associate measurement operator $L \in \mathcal{M}_{d^N}(\mathbb{C})$ for each particle.
- Pairwise interaction between particles : *A* of Hilbert-Schmidt form with kernel *a* i.e,

$$\begin{split} A: L^2(\mathfrak{X}^2; \mathbb{C}) &\to L^2(\mathfrak{X}^2; \mathbb{C}) \\ Af((x,y)) := \int_{\mathfrak{X}^2} a(x,y,x',y') f(x',y') \mu(\mathrm{d}x') \mu(\mathrm{d}y'), \; \forall f \in L^2(\mathfrak{X}^2; \mathbb{C}). \\ (l,l',k',k) &= a(l',l,k,k') \; \text{ and } \; a(l,l',k,k') = \overline{a(l,l',k,k')} \end{split}$$

a(

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > <

Quantum propagation of chaos

• Ansatz :

• The density matrix in QM plays same role of classical distribution

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_t^N \approx \gamma_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_t$$

Mean-Field Belavkin equation :

$$d\gamma_{t} = (-i[H + u(\gamma_{t})\hat{H} + A^{m_{t}}, \gamma_{t}])dt + \left(L\gamma_{t}L^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L, \gamma_{t}\}\right)dt + \sqrt{\eta}\left(\gamma_{t}L^{\dagger} + L\gamma_{t} - tr\left((L + L^{\dagger})\gamma_{t}\right)\gamma_{t}\right)dW_{t},$$

$$dY_{t} = dW_{t} + \sqrt{\eta}tr\left((L + L^{\dagger})\gamma_{t}\right)dt$$
(1)

where

- $m_t := \mathbb{E}[\gamma_t],$
- $\gamma_0 = \rho_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}),$ • $A^m(l, l') = \sum_{\mathfrak{X}^2} a(l, l'; k, k') \overline{m(k, k')},$ • $\eta \in [0, 1].$

イロト (得) (ほ) (ほ)

э.

Theorem

Theorem (Well posedness and propagation of Chaos)

Let T > 0, U > 0, and let $u : S(\mathbb{H}) \to [-U, U]$ be bounded and Lipschitz, i.e. $|u(\rho) - u(\rho')| \le \kappa ||\rho - \rho'||$, with $\kappa > 0$. Then (1) is well posed and valued in $S(\mathbb{H})$. Furthermore for $\eta = 1$, there exists a constant $c \equiv c(||A||, ||\hat{H}||, ||L||)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\alpha_N(t)] \le e^{ct} \left(\alpha_N(0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right),\,$$

- Where $\|\cdot\|$ corresponds to any matrix norm.
- $\alpha_N(t) := \alpha_{N,1}(t) = 1 tr(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_t^1 \boldsymbol{\rho}_t^N)$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Sketch of proof

Well-posdness :

• For each $\xi \in C([0,T], \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}))$, consider

$$d\gamma_t^{\xi} = -i[F_t^{\xi}, \gamma_t^{\xi}]dt + \left(L\gamma_t^{\xi}L^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, \gamma_t^{\xi}\right\}\right)dt + \sqrt{\eta}\left(\gamma_t^{\xi}L^{\dagger} + L\gamma_t^{\xi} - tr\left((L+L^{\dagger})\gamma_t^{\xi}\right)\gamma_t^{\xi}\right)dW_t,$$
(2)

where $F_t^{\xi} := H + u_t \hat{H} + A^{\xi_t}$.

• The control u in open-loop i.e $u(\gamma_t^\xi) = u_t$

< □ > < 同 >

Sketch of proof

Lemma (Linear Belavkin equation)

The following linear equation is well-posed in set of matrices and preserve positivity

$$d\tilde{\gamma}_{t}^{\xi} = -i[F_{t}^{\xi}, \tilde{\gamma}_{t}^{\xi}]dt + \left(L\tilde{\gamma}_{t}^{\xi}L^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\gamma}_{t}^{\xi}\right\}\right)dt + \sqrt{\eta}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{t}^{\xi}L^{\dagger} + L\tilde{\gamma}_{t}^{\xi}\right)dY_{t},$$
(3)

Moreover equation (2) has unique solution $\gamma_t^{\xi} = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^{\xi}}{tr(\tilde{\gamma}^{\xi})}^a$

^{*a*}Set of nonnegative nonzero matrices is invariant for $\tilde{\gamma}$, which implies that $tr(\tilde{\gamma}) > 0, \forall t$

Lemma

Equation (2) with $u_t = u(\gamma_t)$, $u \in C^1$, and $\gamma_0^{\xi} = \gamma_0 \in S(\mathbb{H})$ has a unique strong solution.

< □ ▶ < 🗇 ▶

Sketch of proof

- From the existence of the family of equations parametrized by ξ , define the mapping $\Xi : C([0,T], \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H})) \to C([0,T], \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}))$ by $\Xi(\xi) := (\mathbb{E}[\gamma_t^{\xi}])_{0 \le t \le T}$.
 - The process γ^m corresponds to the solution of (1) if and only if $m = \Xi(m)$.
 - The existence and uniqueness by showing that the mapping Ξ has a unique fixed point i.e the map Ξ is a contraction with respect to the uniform norm on C([0, T], S(ℍ)).

< □ ▶ < 🗇 ▶

Sketch of proof - Part 2

Propogation of chaos :

The main measures of the deviation of the solutions of $(\rho_t^N)_{t\geq 0}$, to *N*-particle systems from the product of the solutions to the MF-equation are the following :

$$\mathcal{E}_N^J(t) := 1 - tr(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_t^J \boldsymbol{\rho}_t^J)$$

Where, $J \subset J_N := \{1, \ldots, N\}$ we denote $\rho^J = tr_{J_N/J}(\rho^N)$ and $\gamma^J = \bigotimes_{j \in J} \gamma$

Lemma (Pickl (2011) - Kolokoltsov (2022))

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathcal{E}_N^J(t)\Big] \le |J|\mathbb{E}[\alpha_N(t)]$$

where,

$$\alpha_{N,j}(t) = 1 - tr(\gamma_t \rho_t^j) = 1 - tr(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_t^j \boldsymbol{\rho}_t^N)$$

here, $\gamma^j := \mathbf{I} \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{I}.$

Sketch of proof - Part 2

• Very briefly use Itô formula : $oldsymbol{\gamma}:=oldsymbol{\gamma}^j, \mathbf{L}:=\mathbf{L}_j.$ By Itô's formula, get

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{d}\alpha_{N}(t) &= -tr\big(\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\big) - tr\big(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\big) - tr\big(\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\big) \\ &= \big(P_{t}^{(1)} + P_{t}^{(2)}\big)\mathbf{d}t + \sum_{k} P_{t}^{(3,k)}\mathbf{d}W_{t}^{k}, \\ P_{t}^{(1)} &= \mathrm{itr}\big([\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k\neq j}\mathbf{A}_{kj} - \mathbf{A}_{j}^{mt} + (\mathbf{u}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{j}) - \mathbf{u}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}))\mathbf{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}, \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}]\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\big) \\ P_{t}^{(2)} &= -tr(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\mathbf{L}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{L} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\mathbf{L}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\mathbf{L} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{L}) \\ &+ \big[tr(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N})tr(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}(\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{L})) + \\ &tr(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}L\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N})tr(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}(\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{L})) - \\ &tr(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t})tr(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}(\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{L})\big)tr(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{t}(\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{L}^{\dagger}))\big], \end{split}$$

and $P_t^{\left(3,k\right)}$ are bounded quantities.

• By taking an expectation of the above equation and using several fastidious estimates lemmas,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{E}[\alpha_N(t)]}{\mathrm{d}t} \le \left(C\|A\| + \kappa\|\hat{H}\|\right)\mathbb{E}[\alpha_N(t)] + \frac{C}{\sqrt{N}} + C'\|L\|^2\mathbb{E}[\alpha_N(t)].$$

The proof is fulfiled by classical Gronwall inequality.

ж

Application - Stabilization

Consider the case of N-qubit system ¹. With A = a₁[†]a₂ + a₂[†]a₁. ² A(l, l'; k, k') such that A(2, 1; 1, 2) = A(1, 2; 2, 1) = 1 and zeros otherwise. For each particle we associate a free Hamiltonian H_j = σ_z^j, an observation channel L_j = σ_z^j and a controlled Hamiltonian H_j = σ_x^j.

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N} &= -\mathrm{i}[\mathbf{H}_{t},\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}]\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{z}}{}^{j}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{z}}{}^{j} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\right)\mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \sqrt{\eta}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{z}}{}^{j} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{z}}{}^{j}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N} - 2tr\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{z}}{}^{j}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\right)\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t}^{N}\right)\mathrm{d}W_{t}^{j}. \end{split}$$

$$\sigma_x := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_y := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_z := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

¹ $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2\}$ ²Where a_j^{\dagger} and a_j are the creation and annihilation operators respectively for the *j*-th qubit. $\equiv \neg \land \land \bigcirc$

Application - Stabilization

• For the MF equation straightforward calculations in Pauli basis give us $A^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{E}[x] - i\mathbb{E}[y] \\ \mathbb{E}[x] + i\mathbb{E}[y] & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$ MF Belavkin equation projected in Pauli basis is represented as follows:

$$dx_t = \left(-y_t - x_t + z_t \mathbb{E}[y_t]\right) dt - \sqrt{\eta} x_t z_t dW_t,$$

$$dy_t = \left(x_t - y_t + u(\gamma_t) z_t - z_t \mathbb{E}[x_t]\right) dt + \sqrt{\eta} y_t z_t dW_t,$$

$$dz_t = \left(-u(\gamma_t) x_t + y_t \mathbb{E}[x_t] + x_t \mathbb{E}[y_t]\right) dt + \sqrt{\eta} \left(1 - z_t^2\right) dW_t.$$

• We note $\{\rho_e, \rho_g\}$

$$\rho_g := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \rho_e := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

the matrices are the equilibrium points of the MF-equation.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Application - Stabilization

• Studying the asymptotic behavior of system.



Figure: quantum states reduction, when $u \equiv 0$



Figure: fidelity with feedback, when $u(\gamma) := -7.6itr([\sigma_x, \gamma]\rho_e) + 5(1 - tr(\gamma\rho_e))$

(日)

Further research

- Stablish a rigorous mean-field convergence result in terms of density matrices.
- Stable numerical scheme based on Krauss Map + Particle Methods
- Sector Stress Stress \mathbf{O} Extension of QMFG in heterogenous case \mapsto Graphon Quantum Games ?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > <

The End

æ